

Chlorothalonil Environmental estimates (circa 2011): Supplemental data



Table of Contents

for lifetime excess cancer risk estimates	2
<i>r</i> iew	2
Environmental Concentrations	.2
Calculated Lifetime Daily Intake	.2
Cancer Potency Factors	.2
Lifetime Excess Cancer Risk (per million people)	.3
orting data by exposure pathway	3
Outdoor air	.3
Food and Beverages	.7
quality for lifetime excess cancer risk estimates	10
	view Environmental Concentrations Calculated Lifetime Daily Intake Cancer Potency Factors Lifetime Excess Cancer Risk (per million people) orting data by exposure pathway Outdoor air Indoor air Dust



1. Data for lifetime excess cancer risk estimates

Overview

The summary data used to calculate lifetime excess cancer risk and the results for chlorothalonil are provided in the tables below. For more detailed information on supporting data and sources, see below for each exposure pathway.

i. Environment	al Concentra	tions		
Exposure pathway	Units	Average	Maximum	Notes
Outdoor air	µg/m³	0.001121888	0.002845	
Indoor air	µg/m³		0.036	
Dust	μg/g		3.2	
Drinking water	μg/L	Insufficie	nt data	
Food and beverages		See detailed data.	Not Estimated.	

ii. Calculated Lifetime Daily Intake

Exposure pathway	Average intake (mg/kg bodyweight per day)	Maximum intake (mg/kg bodyweight per day)
Outdoor air	0.00000026	0.00000066
Indoor air		0.000012
Dust		0.0000021
Drinking water	Insuffici	ent data
Food and beverages	0.00000078	Not estimated

iii. Cancer Potency Factors

Exposure route	Health Canada	US EPA	CA OEHHA
Inhalation			0.0031
Ingestion			0.0031

Sources for Cancer Potency Factors:

- Health Canada, 2010. Federal Contaminated Site Risk Assessment in Canada, Part I: Guidance on Human Health Preliminary Quantitative Risk Assessment. Version 2.0.
- Health Canada, 2010. Federal Contaminated Site Risk Assessment in Canada, Part II: Health Canada Toxicological Reference Values (TRVs) and Chemical-Specific Factors. Version 2.0.
- United States Environmental Protection Agency Integrated Risk Information System
- California Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment, 2009. Air Toxics Hot Spots Risk Assessment Guidelines Part II: Technical Support Document for Cancer Potency Factors, Appendix A. (Updated 2011)



iv. Lifetime Excess Cancer Risk (per million people)

		Average ¹		Maximum ²
Exposure pathway	Health Canada	US EPA	CA OEHHA ³	
Outdoor air			0.00008	0.00020
Indoor air				0.036
Dust				0.0065
Drinking water		Insufficient data		
Food and beverages			0.00024	Not estimated

¹Lifetime excess cancer risk based on average intake x cancer potency factor from each agency

²Lifetime excess cancer risk based on maximum intake x highest cancer potency factor

³California Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment

Supporting data by exposure pathway

i. Outdoor air

Outdoor air concentrations are based on data published in peer-reviewed literature since 2000. A ranking system was used to select data most representative of Canadian conditions circa 2011:

- 1. Canadian data collected in 2000 or more recently, sample duration of 24 hours or longer;
- 2. US studies of similar currency and sample duration;
- 3. Studies from northern European countries of similar currency and sample duration;
- Canadian, US or European studies with data collected prior to 2000 and similar sample duration; and
- Studies with sample duration of less than 24 hours regardless of country or collection date, or studies from countries not comparable to Canada.



	Author	: Aulagn	ier (2008)				Location:	Canada, Quél	bec		
Samples (n)	DF*	DL**	Sample Date	Units	Sample Duration	Min	Max	Mean (AM)	Med	Geomean (GM)	Percentile
		2.5x10 ⁻⁵	2004	µg/m³							
1	13%		May-June		Weekly	<dl< td=""><td>0.000015</td><td>0.000015</td><td><dl< td=""><td></td><td></td></dl<></td></dl<>	0.000015	0.000015	<dl< td=""><td></td><td></td></dl<>		
1	100%		July-Sept		Monthly	0.000930	0.001724	0.001227	0.001029		
*DF = Dete											

**DL = Detection limit

Rank: 1	Auth	or: Da	aly (2007)				Location: (Canada, (BC	and AB)		
Samples (n)	DF*	DL**	Sample Date	Units	Sample Duration	Min	Max	Mean (AM)	Med	Geomean (GM)	Percentile
			2003-2004	µg/m³	Annual						
6						0.000003	0.000032		0.000010		
5						0.000001	0.000006		0.000002		
5						0.000001	0.000012		0.000003		

Note: Values listed in the following order: Revelstoke, BC; Yoho, BC; Observation Point, Banff, AB. *DF = Detection frequency **DL = Detection limit

Rank: 1	Author:	Environn	nent Canada (2	(009			Location:	Canada	, Ontario		
Samples (n)	DF*	DL**	Sample Date	Units	Sample Duration	Min	Max	Mean (AM)	Med	Geomea n (GM)	Percentile
12	83%	1.0x10- ⁶	2004-2005	µg/m³	3 months	<dl< td=""><td>0.133</td><td></td><td>0.00377</td><td></td><td>25th 0.0000896 75th 0.0008410</td></dl<>	0.133		0.00377		25 th 0.0000896 75 th 0.0008410

*DF = Detection frequency **DL = Detection limit

Chlorothalonil



Rank: 1	Author:	Gouin (2	2008)				Location:	Canada, Ontario	D		
Samples (n)	DF*	DL**	Sample Date	Units	Sample Duration	Min	Max	Mean (AM)	Med	Geomean (GM)	Percentile
16		1.0x10 ⁻⁷	2003-2004	µg/m³	monthly			0.0001697			
*DF = Detec **DL = Dete											
Rank: 1	Author:	Haywar	d (2010)				Location:	Canada, On	tario		
Samples (n)	DF* D)L** Samı	ple Date Unit	s Samı Durat		n	Max	Mean (AM)	Med	Geomean (GM)	Percentile
1			ch 2006 μg/n pt 2007	n ³ 2 we interv)085	0.002845	0.000918			95 th 0.001345
*DF = Detec **DL = Dete											
Rank: 1	Author:	Yao (200	08)				Location:	Canada (ON, Q	C, PEI)		
Samples (n)	DF*	DL**	Sample Date	Units	Sample Duration	Min	Max	Mean (AM)	Med	Geomean (GM)	Percentile
1 1 1		4.0x10 ⁻⁵	2003	µg/m³	monthly			0.005200 0.003030 0.001860			

0.011900 1 Note: Values listed in the following order: Egbert, ON; St. Anicet, QC; Baie St. Francois, QC; Kensington, PEI. *DF = Detection frequency

**DL = Detection limit

Sources for outdoor air:

- ٠ Aulagnier F, Poissant L, Brunet D, Beauvais C, Pilote M, Deblois C, Dassylva N. 2008. Pesticides measured in air and precipitation in the Yamaska Basin (Québec) : occurrence and concentrations in 2004. Sci Total Environ 294(2-3): 338-348.
- Daly GL, Lei YD, Teixeira C, Muir DCG, Wania F. 2007. Pesticides in Western Canadian mountain air and soil. Environmental Science and Technology 41: 6020-6025.
- Environment Canada. 2009. Presence and levels of priority pesticides in selected Canadian aquatic ecosystems. Prepared for Water Science and Technology Directorate, Environment Canada.
- Gouin T, Shoeib M, Harner T. 2008. Atmospheric concentrations of current-use pesticides across • south-central Ontario using monthly-resolbed passive air samplers. Atmospheric Environment 42:8096-8104.
- Hayward SJ, Gouin T, Wania F. 2010. Levels and seasonal variability of pesticides in the rural atmosphere of Southern Ontario. Journal of Agricultural Food and Chemistry 58: 1077-1084.
- Yao Y, Tuduri L, Harner T, Blanchard P, Waite D, Poissant L, Murphy C, Belzer W, Aulagnier F, Li Y, Sverko E. 2006. Spatial and temporal distribution of pesticide air concentrations in Canadian agricultural regions. Atmospheric Environment 40: 4339-435.



ii. Indoor air

Indoor air concentrations are based on data published in peer-reviewed literature since 2000. A ranking system was used to select data most representative of Canadian conditions circa 2011:

- 1. Canadian data collected in 2000 or more recently, sample duration of 24 hours or longer;
- 2. US studies of similar currency and sample duration;
- 3. Studies from northern European countries of similar currency and sample duration;
- Canadian, US or European studies with data collected prior to 2000 and similar sample duration; and
- 5. Studies with sample duration of less than 24 hours regardless of country or collection date, or studies from countries not comparable to Canada.

	Autho	r: Rude	el (2003)				Location:	USA, Cape (Cod, MA		
Samples (n)	DF*	DL**	Sample Date	Units	Sample Duration	Min	Max	Mean (AM)	Med	Geomean (GM)	Percentile
90	17	0.001	1999- 2001	µg/m³	24-hr	<dl< td=""><td>0.036</td><td></td><td><dl< td=""><td></td><td></td></dl<></td></dl<>	0.036		<dl< td=""><td></td><td></td></dl<>		
*DF = Dete											

**DL = Detection limit

Sources for indoor air data:

• Rudel RA, Camann DE, Spenger JD, Korn LR, Brody JG. 2003. Phthalates, alkyphenols, pesticides, polybrominated diphenyl ethers, and other endocrine-disrupting compounds in indoor air and dust. Environmental Science and Technology 37(20): 4543-4553.

iii. Dust

Indoor dust concentrations are based on data published in peer-reviewed literature since 2000. A ranking system was used to select data most representative of Canadian conditions circa 2011:

- 1. Canadian data collected in 2000 or more recently, sample duration of 24 hours or longer;
- 2. US studies of similar currency and sample duration;
- 3. Studies from northern European countries of similar currency and sample duration;
- Canadian, US or European studies with data collected prior to 2000 and similar sample duration; and
- 5. Studies with sample duration of less than 24 hours regardless of country or collection date, or studies from countries not comparable to Canada.

Rank: 2	Author	r: Rude	el (2003)				Location:	USA, Cape (Cod, MA		
Samples (n)	DF*	DL**	Sample Date	Units	Sample Duration	Min	Max	Mean (AM)	Med	Geomean (GM)	Percentile
119	0.02	0.2	1999- 2001	µg/g			3.20		<dl< td=""><td></td><td></td></dl<>		
*DF = Dete **DL = Det											

Sources for dust:



• Rudel RA, Camann DE, Spenger JD, Korn LR, Brody JG. 2003. Phthalates, alkyphenols, pesticides, polybrominated diphenyl ethers, and other endocrine-disrupting compounds in indoor air and dust. Environmental Science and Technology 37(20): 4543-4553.

iv. Drinking water

No recent data or studies were identified.

v. Food and Beverages

Food consumption data are from the Statistics Canada Food Survey (2006) - Food available, adjusted for losses tables, and from the Nutrition Canada Survey (1970-1972).

Food concentration data are primarily from the US-FDA Total Diet Study (2003-2004), with additional data on metals and several PAHs from the Canadian Food Inspection Agency (CFIA) - National Chemical Residue Monitoring Program: 2009-2010 Annual Report and the US-FDA (TDS Statistics on Element Results - 2008).

In order to better represent actual intake, we incorporated data for cooked and/or processed foods, as in some cases, this can either add to or diminish the amount measured in raw food.

Concentration data were obtained for 10% of total vegetables consumed.



Food or Beverage	Concentration (µg/g)	DF	Food or Beverage	Concentration (µg/g)	DF
Beef			Peaches fresh		
Chicken			Pears canned		
Mutton and lamb			Pears fresh		
Offal			Pineapples canned		
Oils and fats			Pineapples fresh		
Pork			Plums total fresh		
Salad oils			Quinces fresh		
Shortening and shortening	ng oils		Raspberries frozen		
Stewing hen	-		Strawberries canned		
Turkey			Strawberries fresh		
Veal			Strawberries frozen		
Fish fresh and frozen sea	fish		Sugar maple		
Fish freshwater			Sugar refined		
Fish processed seafish			Honey		
Apple pie filling			Artichokes fresh		
Apple sauce			Asparagus canned		
Apples canned			Asparagus fresh		
Apples dried			Avocados fresh		
Apples fresh			Beans baked and canned		
Apples frozen			Beans dry		
Apricots canned			Beans green and wax canned		
Apricots fresh			Beans green and wax fresh		
Bananas fresh			Beans green and wax frozen		
Berries other fresh			Beets canned		
Blueberries canned			Beets fresh		
Blueberries fresh			Broccoli fresh		
Blueberriesfrozen			Broccoli frozen		
Cherries fresh			Brussels sprouts fresh		
Cherries frozen			Brussels sprouts frozen		
Citrus other fresh			Cabbage Chinese fresh		
Coconut fresh			Cabbage fresh		
Cranberries fresh			Carrots canned		
Dates fresh			Carrots fresh		
Figs fresh			Carrots frozen		
Fruit dried			Cauliflower fresh		
Grapefruit fresh			Cauliflower frozen		
Grapes fresh			Celery fresh	0.00111	0.18182
Guava and mangoes fres	h		Corn canned	0.00111	0.20202
Kiwi fresh			Corn flour and meal		
Lemons fresh			Corn fresh		
Limes fresh			Corn frozen		
Mandarins fresh			Cucumbers fresh		
Melons musk, cantaloup	e fresh		Eggplant fresh		
Melons other fresh			Garlic fresh		
Melons watermelons fre	sh		Kohlrabi fresh		
Melons, winter melons f			Leeksfresh		
Nectarines fresh			Lettuce fresh		
Oranges fresh			Lima beans frozen		
Papayas fresh			Manioc fresh		
Peachescanned			Mushrooms canned		
- control control			the second connection of the second sec		



Food or Beverage	Concentration (µg/g)	DF	Food or Beverage	Concentration (µg/g)	DF
Mushrooms fresh			Milk buttermilk		
Okra fresh			Milk chocolate drink		
Olives fresh			Milk concentrated ski	m	
Onions and shallots fresh			Milk concentrated wh	ole	
Parsley fresh			Milk other whole milk	products	
Parsnips fresh			Milk partly skimmed 2	2%	
Peas canned			Milk skim		
Peas dry			Milk standard		
Peas fresh			Milk sweetened conce	entrated skim	
Peas frozen			Milkshake		
Peppers fresh			Powder buttermilk		
Potatoes chips			Powder skim milk		
Potatoes frozen			Powder whey		
Potatoes other processed			Sherbet		
Potatoes sweet fresh			Yogurt		
Potatoes white fresh			Cereal products		
Potatoes white fresh and processed			Oatmeal and rolled oats		
			Peanuts		
Pumpkins and squash fresh Radishes fresh			Pot and pearl barley		
Rappini fresh			Pulses and nuts		
Rutabagas and turnip fresh			Rice		
Spinach fresh			Rye flour		
Spinach frozen			Tree nuts		
Tomatoes canned			Wheat flour		
Tomatoes fresh	0.01000	0.00070	Ale, beer, stout and p	orter	
Tomatoes pulp, paste and pur	0.01000	0.02273	Beverages alcoholic	orter	
			Coffee		
Vegetables other edible root fr					
Vegetables other leguminous fresh Vegetables unspecified canned			Distilled spirits		
Vegetables unspecified fresh	u		Juice apple Juice grape		
Vegetables unspecified frozen			Juice grape		
Butter			Juice fruit		
Cheese cheddar					
			Juice grapefruit		
Cheese cottage			Juice lemon		
Cheese processed			Juice orange		
Cheese variety			Juice pineapple		
Cream cereal 10%			Juice vegetable		
Cream sour			Soft drinks		
Cream table 18%			Tea		
Cream whipping 32% or 35%			Water bottled		
Eggs			Wines		
Ice cream			Сосоа		
Ice milk					
Margarine					



2. Data quality for lifetime excess cancer risk estimates

Only publicly available data were used to calculate these indicators. Data that are not publicly available may produce different results.

No systematic method for measuring data quality was possible, so we provide the following assessments of how well the data used may represent the actual Canadian average levels. Quality is rated higher when there are data from a number of Canadian monitors, or from Canadian studies that show results similar to other comparable studies. Quality is rated lower when data from few monitors or studies were available, and lowest when estimates are based on non-Canadian data. Others may rate data quality differently.

Exposure Pathway	Data Quality	Notes
Outdoor air	Moderate	 Six Canadian studies identified reporting similar low mean and median measured values.
Indoor air	Very Low	 No Canadian studies identified. A US study (Cape Cod, MA) found infrequent detections (17% from 90 samples) of chlorothalonil at low levels and reports a maximum concentration of 0.036 μg/m³. This value is used to calculate maximum risk, but the quality of this estimate is very low.
Indoor dust	Very Low	 No recent Canadian data or studies identified using appropriately accurate analytical methods. Data from a US study (Cape Cod, MA) report Chlorothalonil detected in 2% of samples (n=119). The maximum value of 3.20 μg/g is used to calculate maximum risk, but the quality of this estimate is very low.
Drinking water	Gap	No recent Canadian studies were identified.
Food and beverages	Very Low	 No Canadian data on concentrations of chlorothalonil in foods and beverages were identified. Data from the US-FDA (TDS-2003-2004) were used for this estimate.